Kings Worthy Parish Council

PLANNING and HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

Minutes of the Meeting held on Tuesday 30 September 2014 at the Kings Worthy Community Centre, Fraser Road, Kings Worthy

Present: Councillors: Ian Gordon (Chairman)

Stewart Newell

Judith Steventon Baker

Sarah White

Clerks: Chris Read

Adrian Reeves

Public: 46

P/14/117 Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs Allen and Torkington.

P/14/118 Minutes of the Meeting held on the 29 July 2014

P/14/103 - Redesign of B3047/A33 Junction, change action from Cllr Newell to Cllr Gordon.

The minutes were then agreed and signed as a true record of the meeting by Cllr Gordon.

P/14/119 Matters arising from the Meeting of the 29 July 2014

- o P/14/101 Ramsay Road Building Site None.
- P/14/103 Streetlights Cllr Gordon noted that the lighting units had been changed along Stoke Charity Road but the bulbs have deliberately not been changed. Cllr White asked when the lighting units in the South Downs National Park area will be done. Cllr Gordon responded that due to restrictions placed on the contractor; such as type of equipment to be used, this area will take longer to complete.
- P/14/103 Flooding Cllr Newell reported that the flood action plan was progressing. Cllrs Newell, Allen and White are using a government template and beginning to populate this with information. Cllr Newell noted that he will be attending a community resilience event on the 3 September; which offers help with risk assessing. Hampshire County Council (HCC) had also spent at least 2 working days on Springvale Road performing CCTV surveying and cleaning the drains and gullies. HCC have indicated that they intend to increase the diameter of the drainage pipes in Springvale Road. A member of public raised a concern regarding a blocked drain at Parish Council. Cllr Gordon received an email, from HCC, stating it didn't need

clearing. Cllr Newell received contradicting information, stating it will be dealt with.

- P/14/103 Planning Application for Woodstock, Headbourne
 Worthy See Applications for Consideration for more information.
- P/14/103 Redesign of B3047/A33 and Lovedon Lane/A33 junctions It was noted that Cllrs Newell, Gordon and White attended a meeting with a HCC Principal Engineer and a member of the HCC Safety Team, to discuss the plans for the junction. This meeting took place after the plans had been approved by HCC. It was noted at the meeting by the HCC representatives that these plans are to be enacted as soon as possible. Cllr Gordon noted that he had reported rubble, which had been dropped from a vehicle, on the A33 near the Junction with London Road. Cllr Gordon had also been informed that when the works go ahead, HCC will be attending to the issue of ponding by "Albert's Gate". (See attached plans for more detail).
- P/14/103 St Marys Close Cllr Jane Rutter Winchester City Council (WCC) noted that part of the issue relating to this close, is that HCC are unhappy with the recent ground works, as the gravel is the wrong grade for a footpath, making it unsafe to walk on.
- o P/14/103 Abbots Worthy House None
- P/14/103 Tesco Site No more updates on this matter.
- P/14/103 Half Acre Development See applications for consideration for more information.
- P/14/101 Travellers on Top Field Site Cllr Gordon reported to the committee an email from Top Field Action Group, regarding the recent occurrence of Travellers obtaining access by reputedly cutting of the lock, to the Top Field site. It was noted that the police had moved the travellers on as they had cut the lock to gain entry.

Cllr Gordon noted Steve Opacic had informed him that Drew Smith has asked about using the land on Top Field as a travellers site but they had not made an application to HCC

At this point the meeting was adjourned to the main hall to accommodate the members of public wishing to attend.

P/14/120 Public Question Time

A member of public asked what the role of the public inspector is, in relation to Local Plan Part 2 (LPP2). Cllr Gordon explained that their role is to ensure that LPP2 was a sound proposal.

A member of public asked what the timescale was to meet the target of 250 dwellings by 2031. Cllr Gordon explained that whilst they don't need to be built now, the matter is being discussed as to ensure there was a 5 year land supply must be established until 2031. Also the government wanted the decision made now for any proposals to build. They also asked, if the need was for 25 dwelling on site 365,

why has 50 been proposed? Cllr Gordon responded that the requirements from WCC, were for 25 - 50 dwellings. He also stated that on the WCC presentation boards, it did show a requirement for 25-50 dwellings.

P/14/121 Presentation by Drew Smith/Quayside Architects

Neil Holmes, from Quayside Architects, gave a presentation on their plans for up to 50 dwellings on the Hookpit Farm Lane Site (Top Field) and up to 7 on the Dildawn site in Tudor Way. The Presentation included the following:

- Out of the 8 Hectare site, 1.5 hectares has been identified as mitigation land.
- 11 acres of RT5 public open space is included in the site plan.
- o Drew Smith informed WCC that there is a shortage of affordable housing in Kings Worthy. Drew Smith are willing to make this proposed development 70% affordable, meaning people with local connections will get priority. This would not happen if Drew Smith went for the recommended 40% affordable housing. It was also noted that 10 dwellings on the previous development were shared ownership.
- The yellow paths, identified on the proposed illustrative layout, will be retained.
- O Drew Smith stated they have consulted with the public and the Hookpit Farm Lane/Springvale Road junction is the main reason for opposition. They said they have listened and have put an option on this development for an access to Springvale Road via Tudor Way through the proposed development at Dildawn. The decision maker at WCC will be the body that selects the access to the site.
- In the plan for 50 homes, the amount of public open space remains the same.
- With 50 homes, Drew Smith can provide land for allotments on the site.
- Drew Smith stated that there is a requirement for 50 homes. Also the proposed Lovedon Lane development was skewed as people were unaware the Lovedon lane development, originally for 30 homes, was on a playing field. Drew Smith are providing new open space were as the Lovedon Lane development is building on open space.
- Neil Holmes stated that they had designed the development on Church Green, which in his opinion was a success.

A member of the public asked; it was stated that 70% was affordable housing yet there are still empty houses on the previous development and Hyde Homes are offering money to help fill the last dwellings. Mr Holmes (Drew Smith representative from Quayside Architects) answered that the Client, Hyde Homes in this case, agree with WCC on the criteria which need to be met to qualify for a dwelling on a

site. Later on in the process WCC deemed that the people applying for dwellings on the site, didn't need local connections

A member of the public asked; that to the right of the proposed development, Drew Smith are providing open space on the right hand (Springvale Road) side of the site. The proposed access road, from Tudor Way, goes through this open space, owned by WCC. Are Drew Smith going to negotiate with WCC, on putting a road through their land? Mr Holmes replied that WCC were open to negotiating if more building were to take place on the site. WCC can however decide not to have the access road through Tudor Way, as it is an option. Drew Smith are still giving the public more open space on the site. The S106 agreement did state that WCC could reconsider the open space areas if further development were to occur.

A member of the public asked; why did Drew Smith plough the top field site? Mr Holmes replied that this question doesn't relate to the application and that people seem to think it's a village green. The site is agricultural land and the owner can plough it without reason, and there is not a great amount of wildlife on the site. A member of public stated that they had spoken to Natural England and they had not been informed that site had been ploughed. The site had not been ploughed for years but they were told, video or images of the wildlife being disturbed would need to be provided for any action to be taken. Also the proposed dwellings and allotments, would box in any remaining wildlife. Mr Holmes explained that Drew Smith had an assessment of the site carried out and that the land was of poor ecological value. By putting a mitigation site in, the ecological value of the whole site would increase.

A member of the public who had looked at the plans for the proposed sites under LPP2, including the Lovedon Lane site, found them to be clear. They had big concerns that the 50 extra dwellings would increase the traffic on Hookpit Farm Lane. Drew Smith had assumed the junction of Hookpit Farm Lane/Springvale Road could handle 130+ extra vehicles. Residents stated that they know Hookpit Farm Lane better than Drew Smith. Mr Holmes stated that nobody else knew 50 homes was an option on the Lovedon Lane site, it was 25-30. Drew Smith have a traffic engineers study stating the junction can take the extra traffic. Drew Smith have listened and give an option for a second access, via Tudor Way. Specialists were brought in by Drew Smith to carry out the ecological and traffic assessments.

A member of the public asked; that travellers were an issue on the site but they were evicted. Will Drew Smith be erecting a barrier to stop them in the future? Mr Holmes responded that he was not aware of travellers gaining access to the site. A member of public stated that the lock had been cut off, which resulted the in the police having the grounds to move them on. Mr Holmes noted that Drew Smith erected gates as per the agreement with WCC.

A member of the public asked; why does the track on the old railway stop at Springvale Road? Mr Holmes replied that Drew Smith only own the track until it reaches Springvale Road.

A member of the public asked; do Drew Smith have any evidence that the ground proposed for allotments, is suitable. Mr Holmes replied that they had no evidence but the ground would be prepared, if needed, to make the land suitable.

A member of the public asked; was the ecological survey carried out before, or after, the ploughing of Top Field. Mr Holmes replied that the survey was carried out before.

A member of public asked; what effect the proposed development would have on groundwater in the area? Mr Holmes replied, that the problem with ground water would be improved. He stated that garages were not as badly effected this year. A member of public stated that Drew Smith had not contacted them, and their garage was flooded. Mr Holmes apologised to the member of public for this remark. Mr Holmes did state that the issue with groundwater would be improved as the development would ease run off. The development would incorporate soakaways, which would release the run off at a slower pace. All the bungalows on the left hand side of Springvale Road flooded due to being built on chalk. All surface water drainage will be via soakaways.

A member of the public, stated that the houses on the new development would cause more water to flow towards Springvale Road. Mr Holmes replied, that the same amount of water would fall on the site and that soakaways will help soak up the excess water.

(Cllr Steventon Baker left the meeting at this point)

P/14/122 Planning Applications received by 30 September 2014

14/01861/OUT – Land Off Hookpit Farm Lane

Cllr Newell noted that whilst he's sure there are differences with previous applications, they are minor. Cllr Newell has concerns that this application does not go in accordance with the Parish Council's decision in LPP2.

Cllr White noted that she agreed with Cllr Newell regarding this application. The public consultation on the matter was very thorough. Whilst minor details may need amending in LPP2, the Parish Council should not be changing their opinion. Cllr White asked Mr Holmes that putting a road through the current mitigation zone would divide up the mitigation zone, would it not? Mr Holmes answered that wildlife has and can again be relocated again, if needed. Only the northern part of the mitigation zone is unconnected. Mr Holmes also stated that the allotments will not affect the slow worms on the site.

Cllr Taylor agreed that the public consultation was thorough. The discussions after regarding the Lovedon Lane development were very minor. The playing field is a non-issue, Eversley Park would actually increase in size.

Cllr Newell stated that having read the documents supporting this application, it states the Parish Council's process was wrong, which it wasn't.

Cllr Gordon noted that the football pitch is potentially being moved, <u>not removed</u>. The brief to all 3 land owners was 20 to 50 dwellings, and this requirement was

stated by WCC, and appeared on the WCC board on view at the exhibitions.

Mr Holmes, noted that he thought this was misleading. The Lovedon Lane proposal offered no public space. Extra properties were added afterwards to allow for more public space.

Cllr Newell noted that further public consultation on the Lovedon Lane site will need to take place and that interruptions by Non-Parish Council members is inappropriate and rude.

Cllr Gordon noted that nothing is cast in stone and a long process is ahead with regards to LPP2. The development on Lovedon Lane is part of a plan for sites across the whole of Winchester district. We have been told we must find site for 250 homes by 2031. The Parish Council had worked with WCC on finding potential site for this number of properties.

At this point and having listened to the representative of the applicant speaking in support of the application; and listening to the members of the public and their concerns with regards to the proposal. A vote was taken resulting unanimous decision, with 3 votes against and 0 for this application. On the grounds that this application did not support LPP2, which the Parish Council supported, therefore this plan was rejected.

Cllr Newell noted that there would be further consultation on this year on LPP2.

Case Ref. No.

Location/Works to be done

Comment

After due consideration and having listened to the

14/01861/OUT

Land Off Hookpit Farm Lane Hookpit Farm Lane Kings Worthy

Residential development of up to 50 no. dwellings to top field and 7 no. dwellings on Dildawn; upgrading the existing access off Hookpit Farm Lane with associated roads, parking areas and landscaping with an optional access off Springvale Road (Amended description)

representative of the applicant speaking in support of the application; and listening to the members of the public and their concerns with regards to the proposal. The Parish Council, on a 3 to

0 majority, decided that this application did not support LPP2, which the Parish Council supported therefore

this plan was rejected.

14/01889/OUT Woodstock Mortimer Close Kings Worthy SO23 7QX

Outline permission considering Access and Layout for 3 no. detached dwellings and extension of existing access

The Parish Council do not support this application in that Mortimer Close is not wide enough to accommodate any on street parking, which would be generated from this development. Any on street parking would in effect cause obstruction issues and would

		be a danger to pedestrians.
14/01900/FUL	5 Glendeep Close Kings Worthy SO23 7FB	The Parish Council has no
	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey rear and side extension (amendment to permitted permission 14/00736/FUL)	objection to this application.

14/01914/FUL 2 Brooke Close Kings Worthy SO23 7PG The Parish Council has no objection to this application. (HOUSEHOLDER) Removal of conservatory, single storey side and rear extension and 1 no. new window

14/01960/TPO 10 The Woodlands Kings Worthy SO23 7QQ 1 no. Beech to reduce branches over garden by 2-3m (T2), 1 no. Beech to reduce branches over garden by 2m and remove the 2 lowest branches over garden (T4), 1 no. Beech to remove lowest branch over garden, higher canopy over garden to be reduced by 2m (T5), 1 no. Beech to thin canopy by up to 10%, remove 1st major limb over garden, reduce higher canopy over garden by 2m (T6)

14/01966/TPO 11 The Woodlands Kings Worthy SO23 7QQ The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the 1 no. Beech to reduce by 2m over properties (T1), 2 no. Arboricultural Officer. Beech to reduce by 2m over garden/house (T2 and T3), 1 no. beech to thin canopy by up to 15% (T4), 1 no. Yew to fell

(T5), 2 no. Yew to remove epicormic growth on stem to 4m above ground level (T6 and T7) 1 no. Yew to reduce overhanging branches back to boundary (T8)

7 Holdaway Close Kings Worthy SO23 7QH 14/02005/LDP Contact WCC department OF Proposed conservatory (CERTIFICATE information. Action - Clerk LAWFULNESS)

89A Springvale Road Kings Worthy SO23 7RB 14/02086/TPO The Parish Council wish to support this application.

1 no. Leylandii to fell 14/02138/FUL Land To The Rear Of Half Acre 3 Nations Hill Kings Worthy Request an extension until the next Planning &

Demolition of existing barn/workshop and erection of 1no. 4 bedroom single storey dwelling on land to the rear of Half Acre.

Kingsmead 5 Court Road Kings Worthy SO23 7QJ The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the Arboricultural Officer.

Clerk

The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the

Planning

more

for

Highways meeting. Action -

Arboricultural Officer.

P/14/123 **Dashboard**

1 no. Cherry to fell

14/02158/TPO

The dashboard is attached as part of the minutes of the meeting. It listed the following items:

Major Initiatives - Requiring PC Approval - None

- Initiatives Not Requiring PC Approval None
- Planning Responses under Chairman's Action None.
- Planning Decisions Advised by Winchester City Council see dashboard of Planning Responses as approved by Planning & Highways Committee.
- Planning Decisions by Winchester City Council no Planning & Highways Committee view given – see dashboard.
- Enforcement Notices see dashboard.

P/14/124 Cycle Paths – Cllr White to contact Cllr Jackie Porter for advice.

CIIr White

Cllr Gordon had contacted Liz Dee (WCC – Strategic Planning Officer) regarding policy S9, but Steve Opacic (WCC – Head of Strategic Planning) was away. It was noted that Liz Dee (WCC) had now left Winchester City Council.

P/14/125 Core Strategy Part 2 Update – The Local Plan Part 2 has now gone before the WCC cabinet and was approved; next step is to get full WCC council approval.

P/14/126 Parking on Grass Verges – Cllr Gordon noted that on the HCC website, there is a policy for parking on grass verges.

P/14/126 Update from Meetings – None

P/14/127 To discuss any issues from the Parish Council Meeting –

Speed Watch – Cllr Gordon noted that the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) will give £1000 towards to cost of equipment needed to setup a Speed Watch scheme. If the Parish Council bought the necessary equipment, utilising the £1000 from the PCC, then if volunteers are found, the Parish Council could liaise with other parishes regarding contributions for use of said equipment.

Cllr White requested that a spreadsheet, with the speed data collected from the current speed sign be shown at Parish Council.

Clerk

P/14/128 Clerk's Notices - None

P/14/129 Chairman's Notices – Cllr Gordon noted that he, and the Trainee Clerk, will be going on a WCC Planning Bus Tour on the 10 October.

P/14/130 Communications – Items for Inclusion – None

P/14/131 Items for discussion at the Next Meeting – None

P/14/132 Date of Next Meeting

Cllr White stated that she will not be attending the next Planning & Highways meeting.

The next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday	28 October 2014.
---	------------------

The meeting closed at 22:28.

Signed...... Date.....



Planning Dashboard for 30 September 2014 Minutes

_	Major Initiatives - Requiring PC Approval (e.g. budget not available, over £1000, project, grant)									
ı	Ref.		Brief Detalls (indicate If project team appointed)	Type (new project, maintenance)	Cost Estimate	Budget Source	Approvals Dates		Notes Completed	
							P&H	Finance	PC	
ı	'A-H	P-003	Street Lighting 2013/14	Project	£7,500	Baseline budget £7500		16/01/2013	21/01/2013	First 6 months £3,119

Information only

Initiatives – Not Requiring PC Approval (e.g. budget not available, over £1000, project, grant) Ref. Brief Details (indicate if project team appointed)									
		Brief Details (indicate if project team	Type (new Cost project, Estimate	Budget Source	Approvals Dates			Notes Completed	
						P & H	Finance	PC	

Information only

Planning Responses – under Chairman's action (to meet submission deadlines)						
Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Proposal L	Approvals Dates			Notes Completed /
			Letters of Support to PC	PC Support	WCC Support	Notes Completed / Decision

Highways Commit	ses – as approved by Planning & Itee			
Ref.	Brief Details	Proposal	PC opinion	WCC decision (O = Officers) (C = Committee)
3/00463/PTH	Land off Hookpit Farm Lane	Proposed footpath diversion	No end date provided (with Recreation & Amenities Committee)	,
	Down Farm, Bull Farm, Lovedon Lane, Kings Worthy, SO21 1AQ	Installation of a slurry hold, provision of a steel frame and roof on top of an existing silage clamp	No objection	
	1 Old Farm Cottages, Martyr Worthy Road, Abbots Worthy, SO21 1DU	Construction of a front porch - DISCHARGE CONDITION 2 - SDNP/13/04198/LIS	No response given as application referred to a change in roof tile type.	
4/00609/PNHOU	5 Vian Place, Kings Worthy, SO23 7NR	Single storey rear extension (length of extension: 5.3m, height of extension: 3.3m and height at eaves: 3m)	Councillor Gordon to contact the case officer.	
14/01166/FUL	50 Lovedon Lane Kings Worthy Winchester SO23 7NS	(HOUSEHOLDER) First floor front and rear extension with new roofing and dormers	The majority of the Parish Council have concerns that it will be overbearing on the bungalows on either side.	Refused
	22 Felmer Drive Kings Worthy Winchester SO23 7PY	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey rear extension	The Parish Council are not supporting the application due to the effect on the enjoyment of neighbouring properties. If the case officer is minded to permit this application, the Parish Council would request that this application be put before the Planning Committee. The Parish Council would also ask the planning committee to consider the age of the elderly person living in the adjacent property, and to ensure constraints are placed on the applicant with respect to the hours of work, noise and if possible, place restrictions on the parking of contractor's vehicles in the area.	
	West Field House West Field Road Kings Worthy SO23 7NT	(HOUSEHOLDER) Erection of orangery to side of property	The Parish Council have no objections to this conservatory.	Permitted
14/01396/FUL	Lindisfame Forbes Road Kings Worthy SO23 7PQ	Demolition of bungalow and erection of 2no semi-detached dwellings and 1no detached dwelling.	Councillors have concerns with regards to parking facilities provided for the proposed houses, especially in respect of 1&2 in that cars will be parked on the road, adjacent to the corner of Springvale road which has a 40 mph speed limit. Also it should be noted that both Forbes Road & Springvale Road are on a bus route and the buses join Springvale road at this point, any cars parked close to this junction would create a hazard. Before the Parish Council can make a final decision on this development, they would ask that a highways engineers report be made available as to the safety of this junction if vehicles, including delivery vehicles, were to be parked outside these properties, given that buses would have to manoeuvre parked vehicles as they join the Springvale Road.	
	Denbeti Sherbrooke Close Kings Worthy SO23 7PN	(HOUSEHOLDER) Rear pitched roof and side access door (amendment to existing planning permission 14/01080/FUL)	The Parish Council have no objection to this application.	Permitted
14/01461/FUL	Windcrest 70 Lovedon Lane Kings Worthy SO23 7NS	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single and two storey front extensions and 1 no. new rooflight to north elevation	The Parish Council have no objection to this application.	Permitted

14/01537/FUL	Worthy Sand & Ballast Co, Old Station Yard Kings Worthy	Change of use to Hand Car Wash and Valeting Centre (PART RETROSPECTIVE)	The Parish Council wish to support this application on the understanding it will not in any way be used for residential purposes. They also ask that there be control on the advertising boards, limiting it to businesses on this site.	Permitted
14/01604/FUL	16 Larch Close Kings Worthy SO23 7NE	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey rear extension following	The Parish Council wish to support this application.	Permitted
14/01609/TPO	Sunnyside Mount Pleasant Kings Worthy SO23 7QU	1 no. Yew to crown lift with a full crown canopy tip reduction of 1.5-2m	The Parish Council wish to support this application.	Refused
14/01705/FUL	16 The Pastures Kings Worthy Winchester Hampshire SO23 7LU	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey rear extension	The Parish Council wish to support this application.	Permitted
14/01803/HCS	Bank Farm, Lovedon Lane, Kings Worthy, Hampshire, SO23 7NL	The proposed development will comprise of below ground open top slurry store with a concrete apron between the existing farm yard and proposed slurry store (THIS APPLICATION WILL BE DETERMINED BY HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL)	The Parish Council have no objection to this application.	
SDNP/14/03332/HOUS	Lane End Mill Lane Abbots Worthy Winchester SO21 1DS	Conversion of existing double garage to residential accommodations and erection of a detached double car port.	The Parish Council have no objection to this application.	

Planning Decisions by Winchester City Council-				
Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Proposal		WCC decision (O = Officers) (C = Committee)
				(o – committee)

Enforcement Notices - Open Cases (Last updated by		
Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Issue
11U/UU.4.45/U.55 =	Hinton House, Hinton House Drive, Kings worthy SO23 7NH	Alleged unauthorised use of residential property (health spa) and breach of condition relating to use of garage. Follow up site visit [2VIS] (31/01/2013). Visit site to ascertain if the u/a uses have ceased
	Springvale Road Shops Car Park, Springvale Road, Kings Worthy SO23 7NB	Untidy site. Enforcement action to be initiated. S215 Notice to be drafted and passed to Legal (09/07/2013)
12/00317/BCOND	138 Springvale Road, Kings Worthy SO23	Alleged breach of conditions – trees removed. Meeting with owner/agent [MEET] (06/02/2013). Meeting on site between WCC Landscape and developer to discuss revised landscaping proposals for site, including replacement trees along boundary, which were supposed to have been retained. Revised scheme already submitted but will just need a few additional adjustments. Replacement trees to be planted in current planting season

Enforcement No	ices - Closed Cases (Last updated by	
Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Issue

	ions for Consideration by ays Committee (as agenda)			
Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Proposal	PC opinion	WCC decision (O = Officers) (C = Committee)
14/01861/OUT	Land Off Hookpit Farm Lane Hookpit Farm Lane Kings Worthy	and 7 no. dwellings on Dildawn; upgrading the existing access off Hookpit Farm Lane with associated roads, parking	After due consideration and having listened to the representative of the applicant speaking in support of the application; and listening to the members of the public and their concerns with regards to the proposal. The Parish Council, on a 3 to 0 majority, decided that this application did not support LPP2, which the Parish Council supported therefore this plan was rejected.	
14/01889/OUT	Woodstock Mortimer Close Kings Worthy SO23 7QX	Outline permission considering Access and Layout for 3 no. detached dwellings and extension of existing access	The Parish Council do not support this application in that Mortimer Close is not wide enough to accommodate any on street parking, which would be generated from this development. Any on street parking would in effect cause obstruction issues and would be a danger to pedestrians.	
14/01900/FUL	5 Glendeep Close Kings Worthy SO23 7FB	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey rear and side extension (amendment to permitted permission 14/00736/FUL)	The Parish Council has no objection to this application.	
14/01914/FUL	2 Brooke Close Kings Worthy SO23 7PG	(HOUSEHOLDER) Removal of conservatory, single storey side and rear extension and 1 no. new window	The Parish Council has no objection to this application.	
14/01960/TPO	10 The Woodlands Kings Worthy SO23 7QQ	1 no. Beech to reduce branches over garden by 2-3m (T2), 1 no. Beech to reduce branches over garden by 2m and remove the 2 lowest branches over garden (T4), 1 no. Beech to remove lowest branch over garden, higher canopy over garden to be reduced by 2m (T5), 1 no. Beech to thin canopy by up to 10%, remove 1st major limb over garden, reduce higher canopy over garden by 2m (T6)	The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the Arboricultural Officer.	
14/01966/TPO	11 The Woodlands Kings Worthy SO23 7QQ	1 no. Beech to reduce by 2m over properties (T1), 2 no. Beech to reduce by 2m over garden/house (T2 and T3), 1 no. beech to thin canopy by up to 15% (T4), 1 no. Yew to fell (T5), 2 no. Yew to remove epicormic growth on stem to 4m above ground level (T6 and T7) 1 no. Yew to reduce overhanging branches back to boundary (T8)	The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the Arboricultural Officer.	
14/02005/LDP	7 Holdaway Close Kings Worthy SO23 7QH	Proposed rear conservatory (CERTIFICATE OF LAWFULNESS)	Contact WCC Planning department for more information. Action - Clerk	
14/02086/TPO	89A Springvale Road Kings Worthy SO23 7RB	1 no. Leylandii to fell	The Parish Council wish to support this application.	
14/02138/FUL	Land To The Rear Of Half Acre 3 Nations Hill Kings Worthy	Demolition of existing bam/workshop and erection of 1no. 4 bedroom single storey dwelling on land to the rear of Half Acre.	Request an extension until the next Planning & Highways meeting. Action - ClerkRequest an extension until the next Planning & Highways meeting. Action - Clerk	
14/02158/TPO	Kingsmead 5 Court Road Kings Worthy SO23 7QJ	1 no. Cherry to fell	The Parish Council will be guided by the decision of the Arboricultural Officer.	

1	Planning Applications for Consideration by Planning & Highways Committee (received after agenda published)			
	Ref.	Brief Details (address)	Proposal	WCC decision (O = Officers) (C = Committee)















